Formation Mechanisms of the Mesoscale Environment Conducive to a Downslope Windstorm over the Cuyamaca Mountains Associated with Santa Ana Wind during the Cedar Fire (2003)

S. M. Shajedul Karim,^{a,b} Yuh-Lang Lin,^{a,b@} and Michael L. Kaplan^c

^aDepartment of Physics ^bApplied Science & Technology Ph.D. Program North Carolina A & T State University, Greensboro, NC, USA and ^cDepartment of Applied Aviation Sciences

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Prescott, AZ, USA

[@]Corresponding author address: Dr. Yuh-Lang Lin, 302H Gibbs Hall, North Carolina A&T State University, 1601 E. Market Street, Greensboro, NC 27411. Email: <u>ylin@ncat.edu</u>

KEY WORDSSouthern California Wildfires, Cedar Fire (2003), Santa Ana Winds, Mountain
Wave Breaking, Critical Level, Hydraulic Jump, Downslope Wind

ABSTRACT

Numerical simulations were conducted to investigate the upstream environment's impacts on the airflow over the lee slope of the Cuyamaca Mountains (CM) near San Diego during the Cedar Fire that occurred from October 25 - 29, 2003. The upstream environment was largely controlled by a southwest-northeast-oriented upper tropospheric jet streak that rotated around a positively tilted ridge within the polar jet stream. Three sequential dynamical processes were found to be responsible for modifying the mesoscale environment conducive to low-level momentum and dry air that sustained the Cedar Fire. First, the sinking motion associated with the indirect circulation of the jet streak's exit region strengthened the mid-tropospheric flow over the Southern Rockies, the lee slope of Sawatch and San Juan Ranges, thus modestly affecting the airflow by enhancing the downslope wind over the CM. Second, consistent with the coupling process between the upperlevel sinking motion, downward momentum transfer, and developing lower-layer mountain waves, a wave-induced critical level over the mountain produced wave breaking, which was characterized by a strong turbulent mixed region with a wind reversal on top of it. This critical level helped produce severe downslope winds leading to the third stage: a hydraulic jump which subsequently enhanced the downstream extent of the strong winds conducive to the favorable lower tropospheric environment for rapid fire spread. Consistent with these findings was the deep-layer resonance between the mountain surface and tropopause, which had a strong impact on strengthening the severe downslope winds over the lee slope of CM accompanying the elevated strong easterly jet at low levels.

1. Introduction

An intense and deadly wildfire, the Cedar Fire, started within the Cleveland National Forest (116.683°W, 33.017°N) near San Diego, California, at 17:37 PST (UTC-7) October 25, 2003. Cedar fire still remains one of the largest wildfire events in California history (CAL FIRE 2021). The fire was ignited accidentally by a flare from a lost hiker and then subsequently enhanced by Santa Ana winds (Johnson 2004). There were 13 people killed, 105 injured, nearly 273,246 acres of forest and urban area burned, and 3,021 structures destroyed (CAL FIRE 2003; Johnson 2004).

The surface analysis chart from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) valid at 10/25/2003 00 UTC (denoted as 10/25/00Z, 2003 hereafter) is shown in Fig. 1. There is a large, cool, dry ridge of a high-pressure over the Great Basin and a low-pressure near the Southern California Coast. Later, the high pressure over the Great Basin moves equatorward, which results in anti-cyclonic airflow directed from the northeast towards Southern California's main mountain ranges. This airflow eventually accelerates and develops into a severe downslope wind along the lee slope of the Cuyamaca Mountains (CM), accompanying extreme adiabatic warming and drying Huang, Lin, Kaplan, and Charney (2009, denoted as HLKC hereafter). For detailed observational analysis of the Cedar Fire event, see HLKC, as they examined that comprehensively. Even though the basic dynamics of severe downslope winds have been extensively studied (e.g., Clark and



Fig. 1. NCEP, WPC observed surface weather analysis map valid at 10/25/00Z, 2003. Flame symbol denotes the Cedar Fire location in San Diego, California, USA.

Peltier 1984 and Smith 1985, among others; also see Lin 2007 for a review), the actual mechanisms occurring in specific cases, such as the interactions between upper and lower-level flows over the lee slope and upstream mountain, are complex, nonlinear, and sometimes poorly forecasted.

Although the Cedar Fire does not occur over or near the Santa Ana Mountains, its flow characteristics are very similar to those of the well-known Santa Ana windstorm events (Sommers 1978; Small 1995; Glickman 2000; Cao and Fovell 2016; Rolinski et al. 2019). It appears that the Santa Ana winds are responsible for driving the severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM, which generate hot, dry, and windy conditions conducive to the Cedar Fire and propagate towards the San Diego coastal area. In this study, we are interested in simulating the complex environment that organized the Cedar Fire to investigate that environment's organizational effects on the downslope winds which occurred over the CM. Note that the CM has a different terrain geometry from the Santa Ana Mountains.

The atmospheric conditions upstream of the CM may be influenced by multiple upstream mountain ranges, including 1) the southern portion of the Sawatch Range (SaR), i.e., primarily the San Juan Mountains, and to some extent, the Mogollon Rim (MR) in Arizona with an elevation of ~2.5 km and 2) the Southern Rockies (SoR) with an elevation of ~ 4 km in southwestern Colorado, i.e., primarily the Sangre de Christo Range and the Front Range. In this study, we are interested in studying their potential impacts on the flow characteristics approaching the CM. These flow characteristics include static stability, wind speed, temperature, and relative humidity, which could have been associated with upward-propagating mountain waves, wave breaking, hydraulic jumps (HJ), and severe downslope winds (e.g., Klemp and Lilly 1975; Doyle et al. 2005; Doyle et al. 2011; see reviews in Smith 1979 and Lin 2007).

In particular, in this study, we plan to revisit the coupling of the synoptic-scale and mesoscale forcing leading to the mesoscale environment conducive to the formation of the Cedar Fire in Southern California, as investigated by HLKC. They propose a three-stage conceptual model to illustrate the coupling (Fig. 2). In stages I and II of their conceptual model, the upper-level forcing, which is associated with the sinking motion in the right exit region of an upper-tropospheric jet streak, helps strengthen the downward motion over the southern portion of the SaR. In stage III,



Fig. 2. Three stages (a) Stage I (b) Stage II (c) Stage III conceptual model to illustrate coupling between synoptic and mesoscale environments during the Cedar Fire event, as proposed by HLKC. The mountains from right to left are the Rockies in southwestern Colorado (SoR), southern portion of Sawatch Mountain ranges including Mogollon Rim in Arizona (SaR), and Cuyamaca Mountains (CM) in southwestern California, U.S. Figure is adapted from HLKC.

the severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM strengthens by wave breaking and turbulence. However, in stages II and III, the mesoscale dynamics of severe downslope winds in the lower tropospheric layer remain poorly understood. For example, one may ask the following questions: (Q1) How does the upper-level forcing couple with the low-level orographically induced severe downslope winds in a series of mountain ranges? (Q2) How do the SaR and, to some extent MR affect the severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM? (Q3) What is the atmospheric state upstream of the CM after passing over the SoR and SaR? (Q4) What are the major mechanisms producing the very strong, dry, and warm downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM? These questions motivate us to investigate the upper and lower-level coupling mechanism as well as to study the formation mechanisms of the mesoscale environment conducive to the development of the severe downslope wind preceding and during the Cedar Fire.

The dynamics of severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the mountain ridge have been extensively studied in the past (e.g., Long 1953; Klemp and Lilly 1975; Peltier and Clark 1979; Durran and Klemp 1987; Durran 1990; Wang and Lin 1999; Jang and Chun 2010; Lott 2016). Since the early 1980s, two major mechanisms have been proposed to explain the formation of severe downslope winds (e.g., see Lin 2007 for a review): 1) resonant amplification mechanism (Clark and Peltier 1984, denoted as CP84 hereafter), and 2) HJ theory (Smith 1985, denoted as S85 hereafter). CP84 proposed that a critical level is induced by the mountain waves due to nonlinear wave steepening, overturning, and breaking, which acts to reflect the upward propagating wave energy back toward the mountain surface, inducing severe downslope winds. When the wave steepens and overturns, a local static instability forms, which helps to produce a well-mixed region. Therefore, a Kelvin-Helmholtz (K-H) instability is triggered after the establishment of a well-mixed region (Scinocca and Peltier 1993; Wang and Lin 1999). According to the other major theory, S85's hydraulic theory, severe downslope winds form by the interaction between a smoothly stratified flow and the deep, well-mixed, turbulent "dead" region aloft above the lee slope in the mid-troposphere (see a brief review on p.135 in Lin 2007). These two theories will be extensively examined in this study.

Based on the above literature studies, it appears that the synoptic-scale forcing leading to the mesoscale environment conducive to the formation of a downslope windstorm over the lee slope of the CM during the Cedar Fire is not well understood. Therefore, we hypothesize that there exist three consecutive stages experienced by the flow in forming the mesoscale environment over the lee slopes of the CM and Coast Mountain Ranges conducive to the Cedar Fire: (a) the easterly jet in the upper-troposphere is forced downward by the sinking motion associated with a jet streak upstream of the sequence of mountain ranges, (b) a critical layer is induced over the peak of the

CM, which results from the resonant amplification mechanism, thus triggering a severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM, and then (c) the further enhancement of this severe downslope wind occurs through the HJ mechanism, which propagates downstream to the Coast Mountain Range. Note that stages (b) and (c) follow Lin's (2007, P.137) hypothesis.

Though HLKC has studied stage (a), we will revisit this stage in this study using the Advanced Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF-ARW) model with a higher horizontal resolution, while the second and third stages (b and c) will be investigated in this study. In Section 2, the model description and numerical experiment design are provided. Verification of the model-simulated synoptic and mesoscale environment for the Cedar Fire will be presented in Section 3. The mechanisms responsible for producing the severe downslope winds are investigated in depth in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion and discussions are given in Section 5.

2. Numerical Experiment

a. Numerical Experiment Overview

The abovementioned objectives will be accomplished by conducting both real data and idealized numerical simulations. We will first conduct real data simulations. By doing so, we will determine how the larger-scale environment is established, which favors a mesoscale environment that is conducive to the occurrence of the downslope winds that enhanced the Cedar Fire. The coarse-resolution simulated results will be validated by synoptic observations, including the 5th generation ECMWF reanalysis data (ERA5 2019), sounding profiles, etc. Terrain sensitivity experiments will be performed by removing specific mountain ranges, such as the SaR, and the SoR. This will be done to investigate their roles in affecting the atmospheric conditions after the flow passing over them is perturbed and subsequently influences the airflow passing over the CM. Then, we will analyze the results from a finer-resolution simulation to identify the dynamic processes leading to the downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM. We will also conduct several idealized simulations using soundings extracted from the coarse-resolution simulation upstream of the mountains.

1) REAL-CASE WRF SIMULATIONS

The WRF-ARW model version 4.0 (Skamarock et al. 2019) is employed for the numerical simulations of the Cedar Fire in this study. The simulations comprise one-way triple nested domains: d01 (D1), d02 (D2), and d03 (D3) with a horizontal grid spacing of 16 km, 4 km, and 1 km, respectively. D1 captures the core of the synoptic pattern controlling the environment surrounding the Cedar Fire event. D2 covers Utah, Arizona, California, Nevada, and part of New Mexico, while D3 covers Southern California, especially San Diego County. Figure 3 shows the domain configuration of D1, D2, and D3. The southern portion of the SaR, and the SoR are depicted in Fig. 3b (see thick black outline shape for SaR and blue outline shape for SoR). The CM is depicted in Fig. 3c (see thick red outline shape). The vertical grid configuration contains 50 levels with a domain top height of 10 hPa, which was found to most accurately simulate the vertical motions in the study of Karim and Lin (2021). The domain configuration of the WRF model is summarized in Table 1. The WRF model physical parameterization schemes chosen for the simulations are described in Table 2.

| Domain | Dx and Dy in km | Grid points (<i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i>) | Land Use Data in arcminutes | Time Steps in seconds |
|--------|--------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| D1 | 16 | $225 \times 220 \times 50$ | 10 m | 60 |
| D2 | 4 | $461 \times 369 \times 50$ | 5 m | 20 |
| D3 | 1 | $401 \times 345 \times 50$ | 2 m | 6 |

Table 1: WRF model Domain Configuration

We use NCEP's GFS FNL $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ reanalysis data (GFS 2000) to initialize the model in this study. The domain D1 simulation is initialized by GFS data at 10/25/00Z and integrated for 48 h, the domain D2 simulation is initialized by the output of D1 at 10/25/12Z and integrated for 36 h, and the domain D3 simulation is initialized at 10/26/00Z and integrated to 10/27/00Z, 2003. The 16 km simulation serves as the initial and boundary conditions for the 4 km case, and the 4 km simulation case serves as the initial and boundary conditions for the 1 km case. We refer to this simulation as the control (CNTL) case for the rest of this study. In addition to the CNTL case



Fig. 3. (a) Domain configuration of the Cedar Fire of d01, d02, and d03 with resolution of 16 km, 4 km, and 1 km, respectively. Black star denotes the Cedar Fire location. (b) Terrain geometry of d02, which covers most southwestern states of the U.S., including Utah, Arizona, California, Nevada, and part of New Mexico. The terrain height (shaded) is in m. Thik blue outline indicates the Rockies, and the black outlines indicates the southern portion of the Sawatch and San Juan Ranges. (c) d03 terrain configuration, which mainly covers southern California, especially San Diego County. The red outline is the location of the Cuyamaca Mountains, where the Cedar Fire formed. Thick blue line is the cross-section line oriented from the San Diego coastal region to the Cedar Fire location.

| Physics Parameterization | Scheme |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Microphysics | Purdue-Lin (Sun and Chen 2002; based on Lin et al. 1983) |
| Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) | Mellor-Yamada-Janjic Scheme (Janjić et al. 1994) |
| Cumulus (only on D1 and D2) | Kain-Fritsch Scheme (Kain 2004) |
| Surface Layer | Monin-Obhukov Scheme (Monin and Obukhov 1954) |
| Land Surface | Unified Noah land Surface Model (Tewari et al. 2004) |
| Longwave radiation (every 16 min) | Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTM; Mlawer et al. 1997) |
| Shortwave radiation (every 16 min) | RRTMG (Iacono et al. 2008) |

 Table 2: WRF model Physics Parameterization Schemes for the Simulations

simulation, three terrain sensitivity experiments are performed by removing a specific mountain range. First, we remove the SaR (NoSa). Second, we remove the SoR (NoRo), and third, we remove both the SaR and SoR (NoSR). These sensitivity experiments are summarized in Table 3. Note that we use only a 16 km (D1) coarse domain to conduct those three terrain sensitivity experiments.

 Table 3: Summary of real data Numerical Experiments

| Case | Key features | Remarks |
|------|--|--|
| | Real control case. 3 nested domains | Capture the prevailing condition for the |
| CNTL | with $\Delta x = 16$ km (D1), 4 km (D2), | formation of the Cedar Fire and produce |
| | and 1km (D3). Initialized at | severe downslope wind over the lee |
| | 10/25/00Z and ending at 10/27/00Z, | slope of the CM. |
| | 2003; Domain top = 10 hPa; Vertical | |
| | grid levels $= 50$. | |
| NoSa | Same as CNTL case except (1) run on | Reduce the strength of the downslope |
| | only 16 km coarse domain, and (2) | wind over the CM. |
| | Sawatch Range, including Mogollon | |
| | Rim in Arizona, is removed. | |

| NoRo | Same as CNTL case except (1) run | Reduce the strength of the downslope |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | on only 16 km, coarse domain, and | wind. |
| | (2) The Southern Rockies in | |
| | Colorado is removed. | |
| NoSR | Same as CNTL case except (1) run on | Downslope wind over the CM stronger |
| | only 16 km coarse domain, and (2) | than CNTL case. |
| | both Southern Rockies and Sawatch | |
| | range are removed. | |

2) IDEALIZED WRF SIMULATIONS

To understand the basic dynamics of severe downslope winds from the terrain sensitivity experiments, we conduct idealized WRF simulations using upstream sounding. In this study, the upstream sounding has been extracted from real data coarse-resolution (NoSR) simulation to initialize the WRF idealized sensitivity experiments. The simulations are integrated in 2D mode with 1 km horizontal grid spacing and grid points of 402 in the *x* direction. There are 126 grid levels (e.g., Doyle 2011) in *z* direction stretched from the surface to the model top (20 km). The model has a sponge layer set between 15 km and the domain top to absorb wave energy generated in the physical domain, giving the model a sponge layer depth of 5 km. A free-slip boundary condition is applied at the bottom boundary. Rotational effects are ignored by setting the Coriolis parameter to zero. A 2D bell-shaped mountain represents the idealized terrain, described by the equation:

$$h(x) = \frac{h_m}{(x/a)^2 + 1},$$

where, h_m is the mountain height, and *a* is the half-width. We use the fixed mountain height of 1.5 km and half-width of 10 km for all simulations to make it consistent with the actual CM height.

Three idealized simulations were performed to study the specific effect of different parameters on producing severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM during the Cedar Fire. These cases include idealized control (ICTL) (with uniform basic wind and structured Brunt-Vaisala frequency *N*), IJET (with strong upper-level jet), INN (with constant N = 0.01 s⁻¹). A detailed explanation of these three cases is given in section 4c and summarized in Table 4. All simulations are integrated for 12 h, and the simulated fields are archived every hour.

| Case | Key features | Remarks |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|
| ICTL | Idealized control case. 2D bell-shaped | The resonance between the mountain |
| | mountain with $h_m = 1.5$ km and width a | surface and the tropopause, which is |
| | = 10 km. Model top 20 km. Run for 12h. | produced by the orographically- |
| | Uniform basic wind $U = -7.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ with | induced gravity waves and the sudden |
| | Structured Brunt-Vaisala frequency (N). | increase of N at the tropopause. |
| IJET | Same as ICTL except with a wind jet | No resonance in the mid-troposphere. |
| | added around tropopause in the | |
| | idealized sounding. | |
| INN | Same as ICTL except with no variation | No longer see strong reflection |
| | of N in the idealized sounding, | compared to that in the ICTL case. |
| | representing a case with no stratosphere. | |

Table 4: Summary of Idealized Numerical Experiments

3. Model Verification of the Synoptic and Mesoscale Environments for the Cedar Fire Environment

Though well-documented verification of simulated Cedar Fire's synoptic scale features with observations are presented in HLKC, we revisit some parts of the verification in this study as we use a more advanced mesoscale WRF model with higher horizontal resolution. Figure 4 shows the 16 km simulated mean sea level pressure, surface wind barbs (Fig. 4b) and the sea level pressure from ERA5 reanalysis (interpolated to 16 km resolution from $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution) (Fig. 4a), valid at 10/25/09Z, 2003. A significant region of high pressure began to build equatorward from the Pacific Northwest to the Great Basin on October 25. In response to the building high, an east-west offshore pressure gradient became established over Southern California. In the simulation, a significant high pressure center of ~ 1036 hPa is observed over the Great Basin, which is almost identical to the ERA5 (~ 1037 hPa). At the same time, a low-pressure area near the northern coast of Baja California and an inverted trough extending up the California coast can be seen in both the 16 km simulated (lowest = 1011 hPa) and ERA5 (lowest = 1012 hPa) data.



Fig. 4. (a) The sea level pressure (shaded; hPa) of ERA5 reanalysis (interpolated to 16 km resolution from $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution) and (b) The 16 km simulated sea level pressure and wind barbs (m s⁻¹) valid at 10/25/09Z, 2003. Black star denotes the Cedar Fire location.

Figure 5a, b shows the 300 hPa isotachs, geopotential height, and winds in the 16 km simulation and ERA5 reanalysis (Figs. 5c, d) valid at 10/25/03Z and 10/26/12Z. The WRF 16 km simulation replicates the ERA5 reanalysis large-scale features although the strongest core of momentum at 12Z is underdone across the key region from Colorado to New Mexico in the simulation by ~10 m s⁻¹. A simulated jet streak (> 50 m s⁻¹) at 300 hPa is present over the northwestern part of the U.S. and southwestern Canada at 10/25/03Z (Figs. 5a, c), which indicates the formation of the synoptic environment favorable for offshore flow. A positive (anticyclonically-tilted) upper-level trough is observed over the Great Basin at 10/25/03Z (Fig. 5a). This upper-level trough intensifies and turns the synoptic-scale wind equatorward first down the eastern Sierra Nevada and subsequently across Arizona into Southern California and became more anticyclonically curved in time (Fig. 5b, d).

A direct comparison of fine resolution (4 km) WRF simulated sounding data with the University of Wyoming [UWyo] sounding data is examined below to determine any model biases or errors near the fire location. The thermodynamic diagram for the NKX San Diego, California station simulated and observed are in Fig. 6. Two different atmospheric layers exist near the fire location in both the observed and simulated soundings at 10/26/00Z. In the lower layer (below 950 hPa), the air was cooler and moister, which likely originated from the northwest (Pacific Ocean) based on the wind direction. The temperature increased with height, reached its maximum at about 950 hPa,



Fig. 5. Analysis of 300 hPa isotachs (shaded; m s-1), geopotential height (solid in m), and wind barbs (in m s⁻¹) from the 16 km WRF simulated (a, b), and ERA5 reanalysis (interpolated to 16 km resolution from 0.25° \times 0.25° horizontal resolution) (c, d) valid at 10/25/03Z (left panel), and 10/26/12Z, 2003 (right panel).

and then decreased adiabatically above. The air is much dryer in the upper layer and reverses its direction, originating from the northeast, associated with the upper-level, inland synoptic high-pressure system. The reversal of wind direction at ~ 850 hPa is the primary signal of a critical level, which is likely wave-induced. The thermodynamic diagrams analyzed from the 4 km WRF simulated flow fields accurately capture observed temperature profiles, lower dry layer, wind speed and its direction and wind reversal in the low levels. However, the simulated temperature inversion layer has been somewhat smoothed when compared to the observations.

Overall, the simulated synoptic-scale and meso- α scale weather pattern analysis looks consistent with observations. In a nutshell, with the presence of strong high pressure over the Great basin area and low-pressure near the coast of southern California, the upper-level jet streak was observed over the northwestern U.S. This upper-level jet transported dry air towards the Southern California Coast, producing mountain-induced severe downslope winds in the Cedar Fire location. The detailed formation mechanisms of downscale winds will be diagnosed in the next section.



Fig. 6. Thermodynamic diagrams extracted for NKX San Diego, California station from the 4 km WRF simulation (a and c) and UWyo observational data (b and d) valid at 10/25/12Z (a and b) and 10/26/00Z (c and d), 2003.

4. Mechanisms of Severe Downslope Wind Formation During the Cedar Fire

After conducting numerical simulations using the WRF-ARW model, we find that the following three mechanisms occurred in sequence to produce severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM, creating a favorable environment for the Cedar Fire (2003): (a) upper-level jet forcing mechanism (HLKC), (b) resonant amplification mechanism (CP84), and (c) HJ mechanism (S85). These three mechanisms have been briefly reviewed in the introduction section.

a. Impacts of the upper-level jet streak, the SaR, and the SoR on the formation of severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM

In this subsection, we will try to answer questions Q1 to Q3, to investigate the impact of sinking motion associated with the upper-level jet streak exit region on the low-level flow over the sequence of mountain ranges, e.g., the SoR, SaR, and CM.

The sinking motion associated with the meso- α/β upper-level jet streak can be traced by the backward trajectory analysis. The backward trajectory analysis shows the source of the air parcels arriving at the fire location. The NOAA Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated (HYSPLIT)



Fig. 7. Backward Trajectory analysis of three air parcels released at 850 hPa from the (a) Cedar Fire location, (b) location at (117.41°W, 32.7°N), and (c) location at (117.37°W, 32.091°N). Black star denotes the released location of the air parcels. The model initialized with the 16 km WRF simulated output starting from 10/27/00Z and ending at 10/25/00Z, 2003.

model (Stein et al. 2015) is used to generate the backward trajectory, which is initialized with the WRF CNTL-simulated data. We have chosen only three air parcels to ensure that our WRFsimulated sinking motion associated with the upper-level jet follows the anti-cyclonic jet curvature and reaches the fire location. For more detailed backward trajectory analysis, readers are referred to HLKC as they considered 24 air parcels. Three air parcels are released at 850 hPa from three different locations, one at the Cedar Fire location (116.40°W, 33.0°N), the other two are in proximity to the fire location at (117.41°W, 32.7°N) and (117.37°W, 32.091°N). Fig. 7 shows the backward trajectories of these three air parcels starting at 10/27/00Z and ending at 10/25/00Z. All three air parcels originated from southwestern coastal British Columbia and descended following an anticyclonically curved trajectory to reach the fire location. The air parcel released at the Cedar fire location (Fig. 7a) descends dramatically after 10/25/18Z, 2003, as the upper-level jet starts to



Fig. 8. The 16 km simulated 300 hPa wind barb, wind speed (shaded in m s⁻¹), and geopotential height fields at (a) 10/25/03Z, (b) 10/25/18Z, and (c) 10/26/12Z. Four different cross-sections, AB, CD, EF, and GF are also depicted in (a) – (c). The longitudes and latitudes for the end points of AB, CD, EF, and GF are: A (116.93°W, 39.1°N), B (107.8°W, 45°N), C (117°W, 36.5°N), D (108°W, 42.5°N), E (114.5°W, 34°N), F (102°W, 40°N), and G (118.6°W, 32.7°N). The vertical cross-section analysis of total wind speed ($u^2 + w^2$)^{1/2} (shaded in m s⁻¹), potential temperature (solid contour in K), and wind vector (u, w) (arrows) along AB, CD, and EF (see (a)-(c)) are shown in (d)-(f), respectively.

tilt anticyclonically. Since the air parcels descended and converged from the meso- α scale to the meso- β scale, the air parcels warmed and dried through the adiabatic warming process (see the backward trajectories in Fig. 14 of HLKC). The upper-level air parcels interact with the air near the high terrain in the southwestern U.S. when they descend to the top of the PBL. This interaction will be investigated through cross-section analyses.

We choose four different cross-sections from the CNTL case orthogonal to the upper-level jet streak in the exit region, which are following the movement of the jet streak, as depicted by AB, CD, EF, and GF in Figs. 8a-c, respectively. These cross-sections lie over the northern, central, and southern Great Basin. All wind component vector (u, w), total wind speed $(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$, and potential temperature fields along the AB, CD, and EF vertical cross-sections at 10/25/03Z, 10/25/18Z, and 10/26/12Z are analyzed in Figs. 8d-f.

At 10/25/03Z (Figs. 8a, d), the nearly straight jet streak at 300 hPa has weak sinking motion in the right exit region consistent with a four-cell pattern (e.g., see Fig. 10.20 of Lin 2007). Therefore, a transverse ageostrophic thermally indirect circulation is observed in the jet exit region. As the upper-level jet streak advances equatorward (Figs. 8b, e), the circulation associated with it starts to tilt anticyclonically and increases the nonlinearity. Once the straight jet streak becomes curved, its four-cell pattern starts to shift to a two-cell pattern, as can be inferred from the shift in the descent towards the along, as opposed to cross-stream exit region location near 400 hPa in Fig. 8e (e.g., Clark et al. 2009). Therefore, the downward motion consistent with the back trajectories starts to concentrate within the jet exit region and the flow pattern splits into the northeasterly and northwesterly flow near the border region of Nevada, Arizona, Colorado, and Utah. After 18 more hours of propagation (Figs. 8c, f), the upper-level jet streak tilted more anticyclonically equatorward over the peak of the SaR in southwestern Colorado. The strengthening downward motion caused by the curved and convergent flow over and southwest of Colorado forced northeasterly flow to penetrate to 750 hPa (Fig. 8f). Since the upper-level air descends as it approaches the lee slopes, it tends to help strengthen the severe downslope wind over the lee slopes of the SaR.

The cross-section EF is extended farther to the west to San Diego, California (red dotted line GF in Fig. 8c). The approximate maximum heights of the three major mountain ranges along this cross-section from west to east are: $h_m \approx 1.9$ km over the CM (left small mountain), ~ 2.5 km over the southern portion of the SaR (middle mountain), and ~ 4 km over the SoR (right big mountain).



Fig. 9. The 3D structure of the total wind speed of $(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$ (shaded in m s⁻¹), potential temperature (contours in K), wind vector (u, w) (arrows) fields on the cross-Section (GF) of the CNTL case extending northeast to southwest valid at (a) 02Z, (b) 04Z, (c) 06Z, (d) 08Z, 10/26/2003. Towards the southwest is the Cuyamaca Mountains, the southern portion of the Sawatch Range in the center of each figure, and the Rockies are toward the northeast. The corresponding 2D horizontal structure of the jet at 300 hPa level zoomed over a small cross-sectional area of the CNTL case are shown in the lower panel (e) – (h), respectively. Panel (e) - (h) represents the wind isotachs $(u^2 + v^2)^{1/2}$ (fill in m s⁻¹), wind barbs (u, v) (kts), and geopotential height fields (solid in dam). In panel (e), the thick blue line depicts the cross-section GF.

This new cross-section allows us to examine whether there is a coupling between upper-level jetinduced downward motion and lower-level mountain waves in producing severe downslope winds near the Cedar Fire location. The 3D wave structure of the total wind speed $(u^2+w^2)^{1/2}$, potential temperature, and wind vectors (u, w) along the GF cross-section valid from 10/26/02Z to 10/26/18Z, 2003 are shown in the upper panel (a) – (c) of Figs. 9 and 10. To relate the interaction of downward motion in the jet exit region to the lower-level mountain waves, the corresponding 2D horizontal structure of the jet isotachs at the 300 hPa level zoomed in over a small crosssectional (GF) area of the CNTL case are shown in the lower panel (e) – (h) of Figs. 9 and 10, respectively. At 10/26/02Z (Figs. 9a, e), there exist no apparent interactions between the upper-level sinking motion and the mountain waves produced by the orography. Two hours later, at 10/26/04Z (Figs. 9b, f), the downward motion starts to increase in response to the anti-cyclonic curvature of the upper-level jet streak. At 10/26/06Z (Figs. 9c, g), the mountain wave activity is over the lee slope of the SaR as well as MR as the sinking motion penetrates to the top of the PBL (see backward trajectory in Fig. 7). These mountain waves extend to the fire location over the CM. Since the sinking motion dominates the jet exit region for the next couple of hours (see Figs. 9h and 10e-h), the strength of the mountain waves induced by the CM increases (see Figs. 9d and 10a-d) and produces its maximum severe downslope wind of ~32 m s⁻¹. Therefore, the upper-level sinking motion is coupled to the mountain waves associated with the southern portion of the SaR, i.e., the San Juan Mountains and the MR, *enhancing* the severe downslope winds over the lee slope, which continues through the afternoon of October 27. However, the impacts of the upper-level sinking motion and its interactions with the orographic forcing on the *formation* of the severe downslope winds over these ensembles of mountains in the SoR are still not clear. From the flow of the CNTL



Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 9 except valid at 10Z (a, e), 12Z (b, f), 14Z (c, g), 16Z (d, h), 10/26/2003.

case (Figs. 9 and 10), it seems that the SaR and neighboring ranges play an essential role in producing severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM. The combined effects of the sinking motion associated with the upper-level jet streak and these southern Rockies will be further investigated in the next section.

To investigate the orographic impacts on the sinking motion associated with the jet streak in concert with the SoR and SaR producing the severe downslope wind over the CM, we run three terrain sensitivity experiments by removing: 1) the southern portion of the SaR (NoSa), 2) SoR (NoRo), and 3) both SaR and SoR (NoSR) from the CNTL case (see Table 3 for a summary). Figure 11 shows the vertical cross-section of the total wind speed $(u^2+w^2)^{1/2}$, potential temperature, and wind vector (u, w) fields for case NoSa from 10/26/02Z to 16Z for every 2 hours. When the SaR and neighboring mountains are not present, the air descends down to very low levels near the flat surface on the western edge of the SoR, following a deep pool of low-level, strong easterly flow in between the SoR and CM (Figs. 11a-c). This pool of strong easterly airflow is a transient phenomenon due to the descending motion and weakens quickly from 10/26/08Z to 12Z (Figs. 11d-f). Consequently, no mountain waves exist in the layer above 1.5 km (Figs. 11e-h). However,



Fig. 11. Total wind speed of $(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$ (shaded in m s⁻¹), potential temperature (contours in K), wind vector (*u*, *w*) (arrows) fields on the cross-Section (GF) of the NoSa case format: (a) 02Z, (b) 04Z, (c) 06Z, (d) 08 Z, (e) 10Z, (f) 12Z, (g) 14Z, and (h) 16Z, 10/26/2003.

this downslope and westward-moving air helps to enhance the strong downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM, which reaches a maximum of ~20 m s⁻¹, which is not as strong as the CNTL case (~32 m s⁻¹). Thus, case NoSa indicates that the presence of the SaR and neighboring mountains do not enhance the strong downslope wind over the CM. The impacts of the SoR (high and large mountain range), including the Sangre de Christo, Front Range in Colorado, have been investigated by conducting a terrain sensitivity experiment with its terrain removed from the 16 km domain (case NoRo). The analysis of this case (not shown) shows a weak coupling between the upper-level downward motion and lower-level flow, similar to the NoSa case. It induces a weaker downslope wind (maximum wind ~22 m s⁻¹) over the lee slope of the CM, compared to the CNTL case.

The combined impact of SaR and SoR on the severe downslope wind over the CM is further investigated by removing both mountain ranges (NoSR). Figure 12 shows that there is no apparent sinking motion associated with the upstream flow in the region of the removed SaR and SoR. Surprisingly, this case produces stronger downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM at earlier times (Figs. 12a-c), compared to no strong downslope wind at the same times in the CNTL case (see Figs. 9a-c). Since there exists no apparent descending motion upstream of the CM in this case,



Fig. 12. Same as Fig. 11 except for NoSR case.

we may conclude that the upstream descending motion is not a necessary condition for the formation of severe downslope winds over the CM; instead, it appears due to the resonance between the upper stratospheric layer and the flow near the CM surface. To understand the dynamics of severe downslope wind in the NoSR case without having upper-level descending motion, we conduct idealized numerical simulations by extracting an upstream sounding from the NoSR case. The results from those idealized experiments will be investigated in section 4c.

Based on cases CNTL, NoSa, NoRo (not shown), and NoSR, we may conclude that the SaR and the SoR do not play significant roles in producing the severe downslope wind over the CM where the Cedar Fire occurs. In other words, the southern portion of the SaR and the SoR have modified the upstream condition to weaken the severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM. The sinking motion associated with the upper-level jet streak appears to be able to enhance the downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM; however, it is not a necessary condition for producing them. Thus, we have verified the hypothesis or stage (a), as proposed in the Introduction: *the easterly wind in the upper troposphere is forced downward by the sinking motion associated with a jet streak upstream of the three mountain ranges.*

b. Formation of the Severe Downslope Wind over the Lee Slope of CM

In this section, we would like to verify stages (b) and (c) of the hypothesis proposed in the Introduction: (b) a critical layer is induced over the peak of the CM, which results from the resonant amplification mechanism, in triggering a severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM, and then (c) the further enhancement of this severe downslope wind occurs through the HJ mechanism, which propagates downstream to the Coast Mountain Range.

1) RESONANT AMPLIFICATION MECHANISM

To verify the resonant amplification mechanism, we plan to make an analysis on one crosssection over the San Diego coastal region from (32.8°N, 117.26°W) to (33.02°N, 116.3°W) (see thick blue line in Fig. 3c) from the D3 domain. Figure 13 shows the cross-sectional analysis of the u-wind component, potential temperature, and wind vector valid for 10/26/02Z to 10/26/08Z every 2h. The mountain wave deepens and starts to overturn and/or break above the lee slope while upper-level northeasterly winds exist over the CM (Fig. 13b). The wave-breaking region is identified with the wind reversal (WR) above it (Figs. 13b-d). According to the resonant



Fig. 13. Cross-sectional analysis of *U*-wind (shaded in m s⁻¹), potential temperature (contours in k), and wind vectors (arrows) valid at (a) 10/26/02Z, (b)10/26/04Z, (c)10/26/06Z, and (d)10/26/08Z for D3 (1 km resolution). The blue horizontal lines represent the critical level heights. Critical-level height level is measured by $\frac{Z_c}{\lambda_z} = \frac{3}{4} + n$, formula. The wind reversal level is denoted as WR. Black star depicts the Cedar Fire location. amplification theory proposed by CP84, wave overturning occurs at the lowest self-induced critical level height (Z_c) of $\frac{Z_c}{\lambda_z} = \frac{3}{4} + n$, where n = 0, 1, 2...., and $\lambda_Z = \frac{2\pi U}{N} = a$ hydrostatic vertical wavelength. This critical level height acts as a boundary to reflect the upward propagating wave energy back toward the mountain surface and inducing downward mountain waves through partial resonance (see Lin 2007 for a brief review). The upward propagating waves are generated by mesoscale mountains and break above the mountain's peak, whereas the downward propagating waves are reflected from the critical level.

To verify this critical level height for our case, we extracted an upstream sounding from the D3 domain at (116.42°W, 32.98°N) for nine different times. The soundings were extracted for several parameters, including potential temperature, *u*-wind, height, etc., from the up-slope of the CM. The extracted sounding data is averaged up to 350 hPa level and then we calculate the critical level height using the formula proposed by CP84 for n=0. Note that at the critical level (Z_c), the basic wind (*U*) coincides with the phase speed of the wave, i.e., (Z_c) = U = C = 0, and finally

reverses its direction. The lowest critical level height calculated at 10/26/02Z (blue horizontal line in Fig. 13a) is 2.8 km, but it's very early to develop a wind reversal as strong mountain wave breaking is yet to occur. At 10/26/04Z (Fig. 13b), the mountain wave breaking increases above the peak of the CM and the critical level height is identified at the 3.5 km height level, which coincides with the WR on top of it. This helps to develop static instability with the turbulent mixing starts over the lee slope. Consequently, a severe downslope wind state occurred at the lee slope of the CM through the resonance between the mountain surface and the critical level, at least at this time. However, at later times, e.g., 10/26/06Z and 08Z (Figs. 13c, d), the critical level height ($Z_c = 3.6$ km and 4.1 km) does not coincide with the WR level. At these times, the WR level is identified below the critical level height. Therefore, it can be concluded that the resonant amplification theory applies at the earlier stage of the development of the severe downslope wind. The later stage of the development of the severe downslope wind will be explained using the HJ mechanism (S85) in the following sub-section.

2) HYDRAULIC JUMP MECHANISM

According to Smith's hydraulic theory (S85), the severe downslope winds form by the interaction between a smoothly stratified flow and the deep, well-mixed region above the lee slope of the mountain (reviewed in Lin 2007). In contrast to resonant amplification theory (CP84), S85's hydraulic theory predicts that the critical level height is falling within the range of $\frac{Z_1}{\lambda_z} = \frac{1}{4} + n$ to $\frac{3}{4} + n$ during the high drag or severe wind state. From our 1 km simulated results, the critical level height is located within the 1.17 km to 3.5 km layer at 10/26/04Z (yellow and blue horizontal lines in fig. 14a); 1.22 km to 3.6 km at 10/26/06Z (fig. 14b); 1.4 km to 4.1 km at 10/26/08Z (fig. 14c); 1.3 km to 3.8 km at 10/26/10Z (fig. 14d); 1.5 km to 4.5 km at 10/26/12Z (fig. 14e) respectively. When a severe wind state develops above the lee slope of the mountain, a dividing streamline (DS) encompasses a region of uniform density. This uniform density region is identified in our fine resolution simulation. Figure 14 shows the cross-sectional analysis of the density, potential temperature, along with critical level height ranges valid for 10/26/04Z to 10/26/14Z, with every 2h. It has been seen that the theta surface splits or divides over the CM at 10/26/06Z, 08Z, 10Z, and 12Z (white outline region in Figs. 14b-e). These splitting theta surfaces are termed DS. The lower branch of the DS descends rapidly over the lee slope of the CM to the surface. This



Fig. 14. Cross-sectional analysis of density (shaded in kgm⁻³), and potential temperature (contours in k) valid at (a) 10/26/04Z, (b)10/26/06Z, (c)10/26/08Z, (d)10/26/10Z, (e)10/26/12Z, and (f) 10/26/15Z for D3. Uniform density (ρ_c) region encompasses within the dividing streamline (DS). The blue and yellow horizontal lines represent the critical level heights calculated using the formula based on CP84 (blue lines) and S85 (blue and yellow lines) theory.

DS is designated by potential temperature equal to θ_c or within the well-mixed region of uniform density ($\sim \rho_c$) (see the region encompasses by the white outline in Figs. 14b-e). According to the S85's theory, this uniform density region is the well-mixed, turbulent 'dead' region, which is characterized by strong turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), illustrated in Fig. 16. The TKE calculated here is from the boundary layer parameterization, which is subgrid-scale TKE. Figure 16 shows the cross-sectional analysis of potential temperature and TKE with the indication of DS, the dead (D) region, and the critical level heights (red and blue horizontal lines). A strong TKE (maxima $\sim 2 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$) region is identified within a DS at 10/26/06Z (Fig. 15a). Afterward, the well-mixed layer deepens due to the development of K-H instability in the local region of enhanced shear associated with flow perturbations. The evidence of this deepening region can be seen by increasing the TKE at 10/26/08Z, while a HJ also forms over the lee slope of the CM (Fig. 15b). The wave-breaking region is seen to expand upward and develop some weak and strong HJ with time (Figs. 15c-e). After the HJ formation, the turbulent mixed region expands downward and accelerates downstream by the nonlinear advection (Klemp and Lilly 1975; Lin and Wang 1996) (Figs. 15d-f). Thus, it



Fig. 15. Cross-section analysis of potential temperature (contours in K), Turbulent Kinetic Energy (shaded in m^2s^{-2}), & wind vectors (arrows) from 1 km simulation valid at (a)10/26/06Z, (b) 10/26/08Z, (c) 10/26/10Z, (d) 10/26/12Z, (e) 10/26/15Z, and (f) 10/26/18Z, 2003. The red and blue horizontal lines represent the critical level heights calculated using CP84 (red) and S85 (blue) theory. The dead (D) region encompasses within a dividing streamline (DS). The hydraulic jump indicates by HJ.

causes severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM, which expanded towards the coastal region of San Diego. How severe this downslope wind is will be examined in the following paragraph.

Figure 16 shows the cross-sectional analysis of total wind speed $(u^2+w^2)^{1/2}$, potential temperature, and wind vector (u, w) valid from 10/26/04Z to 10/26/18Z. The northeasterly wind speed over the lee slope of the CM of ~20 m s⁻¹ is observed at 10/26/04Z (Fig. 16a). This lee side wind speed increases with time. At 10/26/12Z (Fig. 16c), the northeasterly upstream winds increase more than 30 m s⁻¹. There was an early dry tongue near the surface of the Southern California coastal region with relative humidity around or less than 15% (not shown) before the extremely dry surge (relative humidity ~ 5%) descending from the upper-level jet. This extremely dry upper-level air is over the CM along with the strong upstream northeasterly wind. It enhanced the severe downslope wind through the resonant amplification and the HJ mechanisms. At the severe downslope wind stage, strong winds greater than 34 m s⁻¹ exist over the lee slope of the CM (Fig. 16d), which transport hot and dry air towards the coastal area of San Diego (Figs. 16e-f).



Fig. 16. Cross-section analysis of potential temperature (contours in k), Total wind speed $(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$ (shaded in m s⁻¹), & wind vectors (arrows) from 1 km simulation valid at (a) 10/26/04Z, (b) 10/26/10Z, (c) 10/26/12Z, (d) 10/26/14Z, (e) 10/26/16Z, and (f) 10/26/18Z, 2003.

c. Impacts of Deep-Layer Resonance on Severe Downslope Winds – An Idealized Study

Based on the findings from the terrain sensitivity experiments in section 4a, we propose that the severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the CM are produced by nonlinear mountain waves with tropospheric resonance. The basic dynamics of this nonlinear deep-layer resonance mechanism is examined by performing numerical simulations with idealized upstream soundings.

The idealized upstream sounding is composed of several flow and orographic parameters, such as potential temperature, horizontal basic wind (*U*) normal to the mountain range, and deep-layer (troposphere) height as extracted from the NoSR simulation at (108.9°W, 36.7°N) for three idealized cases, which can be briefly described as (a) ICTL: idealized control case with constant *U* and two-layer atmosphere with constant Brunt-Vaisala frequency (*N*) in each layer, (b) IJET: same as ICTL except with a jet near the tropopause, and (c) INN: same as ICTL except with no vertical variation of the *N* (i.e., no stratosphere with constant *N* = 0.01 s⁻¹). Case ICTL has a uniform wind speed of -7.5 m s⁻¹ from the surface to the domain top (Fig. 17c). The idealized



Fig. 17. Vertical profiles of idealized (a) potential temperature (θ), (b) Brunt-Vaisala frequency (N), and (c) uniform wind speed (U) for the idealized control (ICTL) experiment.

potential temperature (θ) profile is obtained by performing a vertical integration with respect to z using the formula, $N^2 = \frac{g}{\theta_0} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}$ (Fig. 17a), where g is the gravitational acceleration, assuming a Boussinesq atmosphere. The structured N used in this case is 0.01 s⁻¹ from the surface to 11 km and 0.02 s⁻¹ from 11 km to the model top (Fig. 17b). The IJET case is identical to the ICTL, except there exists an upper-level jet with a jet wind core of 30 m s⁻¹. In this case, the basic wind speed (U) is set as: U_0 = -7.5 m s⁻¹ from z = 0 - 8 km and z = 14 km to model top. From z = 8 km - 14 km, U increases up to 30 m s⁻¹ by using the formula, $U(z) = U_0 + (U_J - U_0) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{z_H}(z - z_J)\right]$, where $U_J = -30$ m s⁻¹ is jet core speed, $U_0 = -7.5$ m s⁻¹, $Z_H = 6$ km is the depth of jet, $Z_J = 11$ km is the height of the jet core (Fig. 18a). Case IJET will allow us to understand how much impact the jet has on the mountain waves. To specifically diagnose the impact of the reflection of the stratosphere on producing the downslope winds, the INN case is run by keeping N constant (= 0.01 s⁻¹) all the way to the model top (Fig. 18b).

The case NoSR-simulated results are compared with all three idealized cases to help understand the basic generation mechanisms of the severe downslope winds over the lee slope of



Fig. 18. Vertical profiles of idealized jet wind speed for (a) IJET case, which is identical to the ICTL case except with the upper-level jet, and (b) INN case, which is identical to the ICTL case except the N is kept constant.

the CM. Figure 19 shows the vertical velocities and the isentropes for both real and idealized cases, which is used to examine whether or not there is a resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause. In the NoSR case (Fig. 19a), the upward energy propagating mountain waves (i.e., the wave energy is able to propagate vertically) are identified with an upstream phase tilt (toward the right with height) over the peak of the CM, based on Eliassen and Palm's Theorem (see, for example, discussion on p.113 of Lin 2007). On the other hand, when the airflow is passing over the CM, the phase is oriented vertically, indicating a possible resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause. The ICTL case (Fig. 19b), which uses a mountain height of 1.5 km and uniform basic wind with a structured *N* profile (Fig. 17b), exhibits a shallow layer of trapped waves located between z = 4 - 7 km. These trapped waves resulted from the resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause, not between the mountain surface and the critical level height, as proposed by CP84. The resonance in the ICTL case is produced by both the orographically-induced gravity waves (i.e., mountain waves) and the sudden increase of *N* at the tropopause. The sensitivity of *N* to resonance can be differentiated by using a uniform *N* (= 0.01 s⁻¹, case INN) instead of the structured *N* used in the ICTL case. When *N* is constant throughout



Fig. 19. Vertical velocities (shaded in m s⁻¹), and isentropes (contours) for (a) the real NoSR case valid at 10/26/08Z, 2003, and idealized cases: (b) ICTL, (c) IJET, and (d) INN after 12-h simulations.

the lower (troposphere) and the upper layer (stratosphere), we no longer see strong reflection (Fig. 19d) compared to that in case ICTL (Fig. 19b). Since *N* is weaker (0.01 s⁻¹) in case INN than in case ICTL (0.02 s^{-1}) in the upper layer, more wave energy can propagate to the upper layer. In the case ICTL, significantly more wave energy is reflected back to the troposphere. We see strong vertically propagating gravity waves in the IJET case (Fig. 19c), but there is no resonance in the mid-troposphere.

Because of the resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause, part of the energy is reflected back to the lower levels, which leads to wave steepening, overturning, and turbulence over the lee slope of the mountain. The total wind analysis, as shown in Fig. 20, helps to identify this region of turbulence over the lee slope. The presence of severe downslope winds over the lee slope of the mountain can be identified in all cases including, real NoSR (Fig. 20a), idealized ICTL (Fig. 20b), IJET (Fig. 20c), and INN (Fig. 20d). The well-developed HJ in the lower levels is also present for all idealized cases, which helps to produce strong winds near the mountain surface further downstream.



Fig. 20. Total wind speed $(u^2 + w^2)^{1/2}$ (shaded in m s⁻¹), and isentropes (contours) for (a) the real NoSR case valid at 10/26/08Z, 2003, and idealized cases: (b) ICTL, (c) IJET, and (d) INN after 12h simulations.

Case NoSR's downstream wave propagation is seen more clearly from the fine resolution (i.e., D3, 1 km) simulated results (see Fig. 16). The severe downslope wind in the ICTL case is produced due to resonance from larger N and the uniform lower-level basic wind. There is no strong evidence that the jet streak plays a significant role in helping the development of the severe downslope wind compared to the deep-layer resonance. It, however, does change the structure in the tropopause. On the other hand, the severe downslope wind in the IJET case is produced by the lower-layer wind (Fig. 20c).

Unlike case ICTL, in the INN case, there is a strong jet at z = 4.7 km height level (Fig. 20d), which can be explained by the second HJ that develops at approximately z = 7 km. This second HJ is more well-developed in the horizontal than that in the case of ICTL due to the trapping of the air between the strong second HJ and that over the mountain surface. Therefore, based on our findings, Lin (2007) may be modified to *"Both the resonance mechanism and HJ mechanism are persistent and prevail all the time except the HJ is more well developed at the later stage."*

In summary, by comparing idealized ICTL, IJET, and INN cases, a larger scale jet is not necessary to produce severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the mountain. The downslope wind in the ICTL case responds to the resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause, which comes mainly from the more stable layer. However, such a jet may be instrumental in establishing a favorable vertical stratification for severe downslope winds.

5. Conclusion

This study investigates the formation mechanism of the mesoscale environments conducive to the severe downslope wind during the Cedar Fire on October 25 - 29, 2003, by conducting numerical simulations using the WRF-ARW model. In particular, we studied the impact of the interaction between upper and lower-level flows and also the influence of the southern portion of the SaR extending to MR, and the SoR on the mesoscale environment over the lee slope of the CM near San Diego, California, USA. We hypothesize that there exist three consecutive stages experienced by the flow in forming the mesoscale environment conducive to the downslope windstorm: (a) the easterly jet in the upper-troposphere is forced downward by the sinking motion associated with a jet streak upstream of the sequence of mountain ranges, (b) a critical layer is induced over the peak of the CM, which results from the resonant amplification mechanism, in triggering a severe downslope wind occurs through the HJ mechanism, which propagates downstream to the Coast Mountain Range.

Four real data sensitivity cases were conducted, including the CNTL case with one-way triple nested domains starting from 16 km down to 1 km and three terrain sensitivity experiments run on a 16 km grid by removing specific mountain ranges such as removing SaR (NoSa), removing SoR (NoRo), and removing both SaR and SoR (NoSR). In addition, three idealized simulations, including idealized control (ICTL) (with uniform basic wind and structured Brunt-Vaisala frequency *N*), IJET (with strong upper-level jet), INN (with constant $N = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$) have also been conducted to understand the mechanisms for producing severe downslope winds by nonlinear mountain waves while air flows over the mountain.

Concerning the upper-level forcing mechanism, the downward motion in the jet exit region penetrates to the top of the PBL, descending directly over the southern portion of the SaR and SoR in southwestern Colorado. By comparing cases CNTL, NoSa, NoRo, and NoSR, it is evident that the SaR and the SoR do not play significant roles in producing the severe downslope wind over the CM. In fact, these two mountain ranges have modified the upstream condition, which negatively impacts the severe downslope wind. Hence, it is concluded that the sinking motion associated with the upper-level jet streak appears to be able to enhance the downslope winds over the CM without being essential to their formation.

While upper-level forcing is coupled with the lower layer orographic forcing, the resonant amplification mechanism takes place as mountain waves amplify and break. Once wave breaking happens, the critical level is induced with a wind reversal on top of it. The wave-breaking region acts as an internal boundary that reflects the upward propagating waves back to the ground and produces a high drag state associated with a HJ. When a high drag or severe wind state develops above the lee slope of the mountain, a DS encompasses a uniform density region characterized by well-mixed turbulence. The strong turbulent mixing region starts to expand downward because of K-H instability, which helps to produce severe downslope winds following the HJ towards the San Diego coastal region.

The basic dynamics of severe downslope winds produced by nonlinear mountain waves with troposphere resonance are studied using idealized numerical simulations with upstream soundings and idealized mountains. By comparing real NoSR, and idealized ICTL, IJET, and INN cases, it is found that the larger scale jet does not play a significant role in producing severe downslope wind over the lee slope of the mountain. The downslope wind in the ICTL case responds to the deep-layer resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause, which comes mainly from both the orographically-induced gravity waves (i.e., mountain waves) and the sudden increase of Brunt-Vaisala frequency (*N*) at the tropopause. Case ICTL exhibits a shallow layer of trapped waves located between z = 4 - 7 km. These trapped waves resulted from the resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause, and the critical level height, as proposed by CP84.

In summary, the jet streak's downward motion is complimentary but not necessary to produce severe downslope winds in this case study of the environment facilitating the Cedar Fire. The downslope wind over the lee slope of the CM is produced mainly by the deep-layer resonance between the mountain surface and the tropopause.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the National Science Foundation Award numbers 1900621 and 2022961. The authors would like to acknowledge the Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL) for their support of computing time on the Cheyenne supercomputer (Project No. UNCS0030 & UNCT0001).

Data Availability Statement

The GFS Data using this study are openly available from the NCAR's Research Data Archive at <u>https://doi.org/10.5065/D6M043C6</u> as cited in (GFS 2000).

The ERA5 Data using this study are openly available from the NCAR's Research Data Archive at <u>https://doi.org/10.5065/BH6N-5N20</u> as cited in (ERA5 2019).

REFERENCES

- CAL FIRE, 2003: Cedar Fire Incident Information. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. https://www.fire.ca.gov/incidents/2003/10/25/cedar-fire/.
- CAL FIRE, 2021: Top 20 Largest California Wildfires. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection. https://www.fire.ca.gov/media/4jandlhh/top20_acres.pdf.
- Cao, Y., and R. G. Fovell, 2016: Downslope Windstorms of San Diego County. Part I: A Case Study. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 144, 529–552, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-15-0147.1.
- Clark, A. J., C. J. Schaffer, W. A. Gallus, and K. Johnson-O'Mara, 2009: Climatology of Storm Reports Relative to Upper-Level Jet Streaks. *Weather Forecast.*, 24, 1032–1051, https://doi.org/10.1175/2009WAF2222216.1.
- Clark, T. L., and W. R. Peltier, 1977: On the Evolution and Stability of Finite-Amplitude Mountain Waves. J. Atmos. Sci., 34, 1715–1730, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034<1715:OTEASO>2.0.CO;2.
- Clark, T. L., and W. R. Peltier, 1984: Critical level reflection and the resonant growth of nonlinear mountain waves. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**, 3122–3134.
- Doyle, J. D., S. Gabersek, Q. Jiang, L. Bernardet, J. M. Brown, A. Dornbrack, E. Filaus, V.

Grubisic, D. J. Kirshbaum, O. Knoth, S. Koch, J. Schmidli, I Stiperski, S. Vosper, S. Zhong, 2011: An Intercomparison of T-REX Mountain-Wave Simulations and Implications for Mesoscale Predictability. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **139**, 2811–2831, https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR-D-10-05042.1.

- Doyle, J. D., M. A. Shapiro, Q. Jiang, and D. L. Bartels, 2005: Large-Amplitude Mountain Wave Breaking over Greenland. J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 3106–3126, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3528.1.
- Durran, D. R., 1990: Mountain Waves and Downslope Winds Atmospheric Processes over Complex Terrain. R.M. Banta et al., Eds., American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA, 23, 59–81. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-935704-25-6_4.
- —, and J. B. Klemp, 1987: Another Look at Downslope Winds. Part II: Nonlinear Amplification beneath Wave-Overturning Layers. J. Atmos. Sci., 44, 3402–3412, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1987)044<3402:ALADWP>2.0.CO;2.
- ERA5, 2019: ERA5 Reanalysis (0.25° Latitude-Longitude Grid). National Center for Atmospheric Research Computational and Information Systems laboratory Research data Archive, accessed 29 January 2022. https://doi.org/10.5065/BH6N-5N20.
- GFS, 2000: NCEP FNL Operational Model Global Tropospheric Analyses, continuing from July 1999, accessed 17 November, 2019. https://doi.org/10.5065/D6M043C6.
- Glickman, T. S., 2000: Glossary of meteorology. 2nd ed. American Meteorological Society, Boston, 855 pages.
- Huang, C., Y. L. Lin, M. L. Kaplan, and J. J. Charney, 2009: Synoptic-scale and mesoscale environments conducive to forest fires during the October 2003 extreme fire event in Southern California. J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 48, 553–579, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1818.1.
- Iacono, M. J., J. S. Delamere, E. J. Mlawer, M. W. Shephard, S. A. Clough, and W. D. Collins, 2008: Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases : Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models. 113, 2–9, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944.

- Jang, W., and H.-Y. Chun, 2010: A numerical study on severe downslope windstorms occurred on 5 April 2005 at Gangneung and Yangyang, Korea. *Asia-Pacific J. Atmos. Sci.*, 46, 155– 172, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13143-010-0015-2.
- Janjić, Z. I., 1994: The Step-Mountain Eta Coordinate Model: Further Developments of the Convection, Viscous Sublayer, and Turbulence Closure Schemes. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 122, 927–945, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1994)122<0927:TSMECM>2.0.CO;2.
- Johnson, D. L., 2004: Southern California Wildfires October 20 to November 3, 2003. NOAA/NWS Service Assessment, Silver Spring, MD, 45 pp.
- Kain, J. S., 2004: The Kain–Fritsch convective parameterization: An update. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 43, 170–181, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(2004)043<0170:TKCPAU>2.0.CO;2.
- Karim, S. M. S., and Y.-L. Lin, 2021: A study of track deflection associated with the landfall of Tropical Cyclone Sidr (2007) over the Bay of Bengal and Bangladesh. *Dyn. Atmos. Ocean.*, 93, 101207, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2021.101207.
- Klemp, J. B., and D. R. Lilly, 1975: The Dynamics of Wave-Induced Downslope Winds. J. Atmos. Sci., 32, 320–339, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1975)032<0320:TDOWID>2.0.CO;2.
- Lin, Y.L., R.D. Fairly, and H. D. Orville, 1983: Bulk parameterization of the snowfield in a cloud model. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 22, 1065–1092, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1983)022<1065:BPOTSF>2.0.CO;2.

Lin, Y.-L., 2007: Mesoscale dynamics. Cambridge University Press, 630 pp.

- , and T.-A. Wang, 1996: Flow regimes and transient dynamics of two-dimensional stratified flow over an isolated mountain ridge. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 53, 139–158, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1996)053%3C0139:FRATDO%3E2.0.CO;2.
- Long, R. R., 1953: Some Aspects of the Flow of Stratified Fluids. Part I. a theoretical investigation. *Tellus*, 5, 42–58, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2153-

3490.1953.tb01035.x.

- Lott, F., 2016: A New Theory for Downslope Windstorms and Trapped Mountain Waves. J. *Atmos. Sci.*, **73**, 3585–3597, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-15-0342.1.
- Mlawer, Eli. J., Steven. J. Taubman, Patrick. D. Brown, M. J. Iacono, and S. A. C., 1997:
 Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated–k model for the longwave. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16663–16682, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00237.
- Monin, A. S., and A. M. Obukhov, 1954: Basic laws of turbulent mixing in the surface layer of the atmosphere. *Contrib Geophys Inst Acad Sci USSR*, **24**, 163–187.
- Peltier, W. R., and T. L. Clark, 1979: The Evolution and Stability of Finite-Amplitude Mountain Waves. Part II: Surface Wave Drag and Severe Downslope Windstorms. J. Atmos. Sci., 36, 1498–1529, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1979)036<1498:TEASOF>2.0.CO;2.
- Rolinski, T., S. B. Capps, and W. Zhuang, 2019: Santa Ana Winds: A Descriptive Climatology. *Weather Forecast.*, **34**, 257–275, https://doi.org/10.1175/WAF-D-18-0160.1.
- Scinocca, J. F., and W. R. Peltier, 1993: The Instability of Long's Stationary Solution and the Evolution toward Severe Downslope Windstorm Flow. Part I: Nested Grid Numerical Simulations. J. Atmos. Sci., 50, 2245–2263, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1993)050<2245:TIOLSS>2.0.CO;2.
- Skamarock, W. C., J. B. Klemp, J. Dudhia, D. O. Gill, L. Zhiquan, B. Judith, W. Wang, J. G. Powers, M. G. Duda, D. M. Barker, and X.-Y. Huang, 2019: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Model Version 4. NCAR Technical Notes NCAR / TN-556 + STR, http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/1dfh-6p97
- Small, I. J., 1995: Santa Ana winds and the fire outbreak of fall 1993. NOAA Technical Memorandum, *National Weather Service Scientific Division*, Western Region.
- Smith, R. B., 1979: The Influence of Mountains on the Atmosphere. *Advances in Geophysics*, 21, 87–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2687(08)60262-9.
- Smith, R. B., 1985: On Severe Downslope Winds. J. Atmos. Sci., 42, 2597–2603, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1985)042%3C2597:OSDW%3E2.0.CO;2.

- Sommers, W. T., 1978: LFM Forecast Variables Related to Santa Ana Wind Occurrences. *Mon. Weather Rev.*, **106**, 1307–1316, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1978)106<1307:LFVRTS>2.0.CO;2.
- Stein, A. F., R. R. Draxler, G. D. Rolph, B. J. B. Stunder, M. D. Cohen, and F. Ngan, 2015: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1.
- Sun, W.-Y., and S.-H. Chen, 2002: A One-dimensional Time-Dependent Cloud Model. J. Meteorol. Soc. Japan, 80, 99–118. https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.80.99.
- Tewari, M., F. Chen, W. Wang, J. Dudhia, M. A. Lemone, K. E. Mitchell, M. EK, G. Gayno, J. W. Wegiel, R. Cuenca, 2004: Implementation and verification of the unified Noah land-surface model in the WRF model [presentation]. In 20th Conference on Weather Analysis and Forecasting/16th Conference on Numerical Weather Prediction. American Meteorological Society: Seattle, WA, US.
- Wang, T.-A., and Y.-L. Lin, 1999: Wave Ducting in a Stratified Shear Flow over a Two-Dimensional Mountain. Part II: Implications for the Development of High-Drag States for Severe Downslope Windstorms. J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 437–452, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<0437:WDIASS>2.0.CO;2.